


This is Exhibit A attached to the
Affidavit of Nagib Tajdin
sworn on 29 JULY, 2010.

This is the exhibit marked A
referred to in the enclosed affidavit/ declaration
of NAGIB TAJDIN

sworn, declared before me this 29th
day of July 2010
at NAIROBI


Commissioner for Oaths

Nagib Tajdin

From: Nagib Tajdin [nagib@tajdin.com]**Sent:** Sunday, May 16, 2010 6:38 PM**To:** 'Gray, Brian'**Subject:** 5 minute meeting with H.H. The Aga Khan to take his instruction and close the file.

Sunday, May 16, 2010

Dear Mr Brian Gray,

Thank you for your email dated 14th May, 2010.

You are well aware that it has already been proved beyond any doubt, by two well known forensic experts, that the letter sent to me on January 24th contained a forged signature of the Aga Khan. Therefore any subsequent letter or affirmation saying that the signature on that letter is not forged is questionable. However, I do wish for this matter to be resolved quickly, while ensuring that the Imam's interests are protected.

You have stated that in your opinion the first letter is not forged. You have therefore read in it that His Highness has asked a direct answer from me. I believe this can bring a meeting of mind between our both positions on how to best resolve the issues.

1) From reading your comments reported in this matter, I am assuming that you have not received any direct instructions from the Aga Khan. Can you please confirm us that you have talked directly to His Highness in regards to this lawsuit and when? By directly I mean in person and without intermediary, as defined by the Oxford dictionary.

2) If you are in direct contact [in person and without intermediary] with His Highness, I am requesting you to demonstrate your good faith by arranging a short meeting (5 to 10 minutes would be enough) in Toronto so that I can take direct instructions from His Highness for immediate implementation. As you know, His Highness will be in Toronto within the next two weeks.

Being a spiritual child of His Highness, I am convinced that His Highness will certainly grant me this short meeting, provided my request for a meeting is actually brought to His attention.

You have hereby a way to resolve this matter expeditiously and to the satisfaction of His Highness the Aga Khan, and I trust that you will arrange this meeting so that this file can be closed without any further delay.

Yours truly,

Nagib Tajdin

From: Gray, Brian [mailto:bgray@ogilvyrenault.com]**Sent:** Friday, May 14, 2010 6:00 PM**To:** jwalaw@yahoo.ca; nagib@tajdin.com

2010-07-24

Nagib Tajdin

From: Gray, Brian [bgray@ogilvyrenault.com]
Sent: Monday, May 17, 2010 10:54 AM
To: Nagib Tajdin
Cc: Wall, Kristin
Subject: RE: 5 minute meeting with H.H. The Aga Khan to take his instruction and close the file.

Dear Mr. Nagib Tajdin,

I respond to your e-mail as follows:

1. I am not a forensic expert, but I would be very surprised if such "experts" were infallible, especially as they apparently have only seen fax or photocopies of the signature. Further did you send them the handwritten note that you had received on the letter to you? Why not send them the signature on the notarized document that you have now received?
 2. I have received instructions from the Aga Khan. I am not authorized to discuss the nature or circumstances surrounding those instructions. The notarized affirmation that you received was signed in Boston by the Aga Khan in front of an independent notary and in the presence of a senior lawyer from a well respected firm whom I have known personally for twenty years. Neither of these people are otherwise involved in this lawsuit or connected in any way to the Aga Khan or his organization or secretariat.
 3. I will relay your request. It is not for me to comment on what His Highness would wish to do. However I can speculate that the way to what you wish is more likely to be through cooperation than conflict. I might expect that His Highness would not want to grant an interview to someone who is ignoring his requests. However this is only speculation on my part and I will forward your request.
- Brian W. Gray

Brian W. Gray

Ogilvy Renault LLP
Barristers and Solicitors
Patent and Trade-mark Agents
Royal Bank Plaza, South Tower
200 Bay Street, Suite 3800, P.O. Box 84
Toronto, Ontario M5J 2Z4
tel: 416 216-1905
fax: 416 216-3930
cell: 416 917-1652
bgray@ogilvyrenault.com

From: Nagib Tajdin [mailto:nagib@tajdin.com]
Sent: May 16, 2010 6:38 PM
To: Gray, Brian
Subject: 5 minute meeting with H.H. The Aga Khan to take his instruction and close the file.

Sunday, May 16, 2010

Dear Mr Brian Gray,

2010-07-24

Nagib Tajdin

From: Nagib Tajdin [nagib@tajdin.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2010 3:33 PM
To: 'Gray, Brian'; 'Wall, Kristin'
Subject: Questions about your letter 14 May 2010

Dear Mr Brian Gray,

I have a few questions about your intended handling of this case, as expressed in your email dated 14th May, 2010.

In your email dated 14th May, 2010, instead of saying something along the lines that non-compliance would result in a continuation of the court procedures, you instead state that: "*it may become necessary to circulate this more widely. In any event we reserve the right to circulate this Affirmation as necessary*". Is this a formally accepted legal maneuver?

I'm sure you will agree with me that the above statements sound very much like a threat to further slander my reputation. Would you please clarify where your instructions for this maneuver come from as the Imam would never threaten a Murid [Disciple.] I very much doubt that The Aga Khan would tolerate such activities by the very people who are seeking to serve Him. This comes as a surprise from a lawyer of your standing, and this raises more questions about the case.

In your email you said that you need Amin Tajdin's email address. I have already told your assistant Kristin Wall by email on 4th May 2010 to send all my correspondence to Alnaz Jiwa's address with email copy to me. Why would you need Amin Tajdin's email? He is not a defendant or a plaintiff in this case. To me this is a further attempt, by the usurper plaintiff, to discredit and harass my family.

Regards,

Nagib Tajdin

Nagib Tajdin

From: Gray, Brian [bgray@ogilvyrenault.com]
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2010 2:04 PM
To: Nagib Tajdin; Jiwa Law
Cc: Wall, Kristin; Gray, Brian
Subject: RE: 5 minute meeting with H.H. The Aga Khan to take his instruction and close the file.

Dear Mr. Tajdin and Mr. Jiwa,

I have received an e-mail from Mr. Tajdin reproduced below and a fax letter from Mr. Jiwa attached.

In these letters each of you address slightly different points, but in substance, each of you express a desire to abide by the wishes of His Highness the Aga Khan and each of you seem to believe that the Ismaili leadership is somehow failing to communicate the true situation to His Highness or that His Highness has not authorized the action against you. Your belief is unreasonable and unwarranted. I can tell you that I have spoken to His Highness personally and alone, with no one from the Ismaili Secretariat participating, and he made it very clear to me that he wanted the distribution of these books stopped and that he considered this a very serious matter for the Imam. He also made it quite clear that he had not previously consented to the publication of the Farmans by Mr. Tajdin or anyone else and that any implication or understanding to the contrary was not correct.

In a further effort to convince you, I sent a senior lawyer whom I have known for almost 20 years to see the Aga Khan when His Highness was in Boston. This senior lawyer brought along a notary and the notary received identification from the Aga Khan by way of an examination of the Aga Khan's passport and witnessed the affirmation that you have now received. Further, neither this senior lawyer nor the notary has had any previous involvement with this case, has never met or even heard of Shafik Sachedina or any member of the Ismaili leadership and met with the Aga Khan in person and alone, except for the notary and I believe one personal secretary. At that time, the Aga Khan read and signed the affirmation without any influence or direction from anyone else.

All of these facts we will prove if we have to.

However during my recent conversation with His Highness, His Highness was aware of the e-mail from Mr. Tajdin and of Mr. Tajdin's request for a meeting. This would take place within the context of the Imam-Murid relationship. Notwithstanding that His Highness considers the wrongful publication and distribution to be a very serious matter, His Highness expressed a desire to be forgiving to both of you and to accept an apology from the two of you. He also indicated to me that you should agree unconditionally to a settlement that would acknowledge that His Highness has copyright in the works, that your actions have constituted an infringement of that copyright and that you would agree to delivery up of the remaining books and to an injunction from further copying or distribution. His Highness does not want damages or legal fees.

If this happens, His Highness has assured me that he would grant a personal audience to the both of you, so that you can explain your actions to him and ask for his forgiveness. This will be an opportunity for you to make whatever representations you would like to make.

2010-07-24

However this cannot occur unless you first immediately agree to the settlement terms proposed above.

As you know His Highness will shortly be in Toronto. If a meeting can be arranged in Toronto, this will be done, but His Highness will only be in the city for inside a day. If a meeting cannot be arranged at that time, His Highness will meet with you promptly thereafter.

I should tell you that His Highness also said to me that he was prepared to continue until the end of the case, if need be, and I cannot say then what His Highness wishes might be if this matter does continue.

Please let me know your position as soon as possible so that I can draw up the necessary papers. A paper copy of this letter will follow tomorrow.

Brian W. Gray
Ogilvy Renault

From: Nagib Tajdin [mailto:nagib@tajdin.com]
Sent: May-16-10 10:38 PM
To: Gray, Brian
Subject: 5 minute meeting with H.H. The Aga Khan to take his instruction and close the file.

Sunday, May 16, 2010

Dear Mr Brian Gray,

Thank you for your email dated 14th May, 2010.

You are well aware that it has already been proved beyond any doubt, by two well known forensic experts, that the letter sent to me on January 24th contained a forged signature of the Aga Khan. Therefore any subsequent letter or affirmation saying that the signature on that letter is not forged is questionable. However, I do wish for this matter to be resolved quickly, while ensuring that the Imam's interests are protected.

You have stated that in your opinion the first letter is not forged. You have therefore read in it that His Highness has asked a direct answer from me. I believe this can bring a meeting of mind between our both positions on how to best resolve the issues.

1) From reading your comments reported in this matter, I am assuming that you have not received any direct instructions from the Aga Khan. Can you please confirm us that you have talked directly to His Highness in regards to this lawsuit and when? By directly I mean in person and without intermediary, as defined by the Oxford dictionary.

2) If you are in direct contact [in person and without intermediary] with His Highness, I am requesting you to demonstrate your good faith by arranging a short meeting (5 to 10 minutes would be enough) in Toronto so that I can take direct instructions from His Highness for immediate implementation. As you know, His Highness will be in Toronto within the next two weeks.

Being a spiritual child of His Highness, I am convinced that His Highness will certainly grant me this short meeting, provided my request for a meeting is actually brought to His attention.

Nagib Tajdin

From: Nagib Tajdin [nagib@tajdin.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 24, 2010 10:44 AM
To: 'Gray, Brian'
Cc: 'Jiwa Law'; 'Wall, Kristin'; 'Fung, Cindy'
Subject: RE: Summary Judgment

Dear Mr. Gray:

Thank you for your letter requesting adjournment of our motion. I am sorry but we are not able to agree to your request to adjourn our motion to July 19, 2010. As you know, one of our chief evidences is that the Aga Khan had accepted a mehmani where He blessed our publication work and gave us instructions and blessings for the success of our work, and based on that we proceeded to distribute His works openly to Ismailis over the past 18 years.

Both of us know that the Aga Khan is therefore not the real plaintiff. Unfortunately, your actions do not resolve the issue on who is the real plaintiff, and on the contrary convince us that it is not the Aga Khan. For example, your refusal to provide us with the name and addresses of the lawyer, notary public, you failed to give us an original Affirmation, etc. We can make a long list.

As you recall, I had written to you that I had traveled to Toronto from Kenya for the sole purpose to make myself available to get direct instructions from the Aga Khan in person when he was in Toronto. You stated to me that the Aga Khan refused to meet us but it just showed that you do not have access to the Aga Khan since no lawyer or plaintiff would have missed multiple opportunities to resolve this matter within minutes at the entire satisfaction of the Aga Khan instead of dragging His Holy name "ad vitam eternam" in court.

Both of us are still affirming that IF the Aga Khan wants us to stop the distribution, we will stop it immediately. The sequence of events, the manner in which this file has evolved and multiple threats and forgeries has convinced us even more that He is not the real plaintiff.

We will not adjourn the motion for July 12, 2010. We wish to proceed with same and we wish to cross-examine the Plaintiff immediately so that we can be ready for the motion argument for July 12, 2010. Since we have already pre-agreed to follow whatever instruction the Aga Khan will give us directly, our cross-examination would be a minimal intrusion on His time. Though you have previously stated that the Aga Khan wishes to proceed in court, it is our clear intention and desire not to inconvenience the Aga Khan.

If you cannot confirm the Aga Khan's availability for cross-examinations immediately, we will assume, rightly, that He is not the real plaintiff and you are unable to confirm the appointment. We will seek on July 12, 2010, that the action

be dismissed for failure to attend for cross-examination, rendering your motion moot.

I also require you to obtain the video (you were previously notified) of the Mehmani of 1992, and I will ask the court to draw an adverse inference if you fail to produce it for the motion.

As I have to make arrangements to travel to Canada from Kenya, please inform me immediately as to the time and date for the cross-examinations, and I will make myself available for examinations as well, which might also not be necessary once the Aga Khan has given us direct instructions on the course to follow.

Thank you and I await a prompt response from you.

Nagib Tajdin

2010-07-24

Nagib Tajdin

From: Nagib Tajdin [nagib@tajdin.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 22, 2010 3:44 PM
To: 'Gray, Brian'; 'Jiwa Law Office'
Cc: 'Wall, Kristin'; 'nagibtajdin@yahoo.com'
Subject: RE: Federal Court - Cour fédérale T-514-10

Dear Mr Gray,

I trust you have now received the check for the discovery expense.

Please let me know if the date is convenient for discovery or if the named plaintiff would like us to do the discovery in Paris around that date.

If Paris it is more convenient, I will be able to change the date on my ticket and stop there on my way from Nairobi to Canada.

As you know, this discovery will allow all of us to avoid costly procedures such as motions, trials and further examinations, therefore saving time and money to all parties involved including to your client and to the Court and it will bring an end to the court proceedings.

I trust you will confirm at the earliest.

Regards,

Nagib tajdin

2010-07-24

FEDERAL COURT

BETWEEN:

HIS HIGHNESS PRINCE KARIM AGA KHAN

Plaintiff

and

NAGIB TAJDIN, ALNAZ JIWA, JOHN DOE and DOE CO. and all other persons or entities unknown to the plaintiff who are reproducing, publishing, promoting and/or authorizing the reproduction and promotion of the Infringing Materials

Defendants

DIRECTION TO ATTEND

TO: HIS HIGHNESS PRINCE KARIM AGA KHAN

YOU ARE REQUIRED TO ATTEND AN EXAMINATION for discovery on Friday, July 30, 2010, at 10:00 a.m., at the office of Network Reporting and Mediation, 100 King St. West, Toronto, Ontario, M5X 1K7, telephone number (416) 359-0305.

YOU ARE ALSO REQUIRED TO BRING WITH YOU and produce at the examination the following documents and things:

- a. All documents, memos and correspondence (printed or electronic), relating to recording, transcribing, collating, editing, publishing or non-publishing of *Farmans* and/or *Talikas*; and
- b. Video or DVD recording made on August 15, 1992, during the *Mehmani* ceremony performed in Montreal, Quebec.

TRAVEL EXPENSES for one day of attendance is served with this direction, calculated in accordance with Tariff A of the Federal Courts Rules as follows:

Transportation allowance	\$ 7,500.00
Overnight accommodations and meal allowance	<u>\$ 2,500.00</u>
TOTAL	\$10,000.00


If further attendance is required, you will be entitled to additional money.

THE EXAMINATION WILL BE CONDUCTED IN FRENCH. If you prefer to be examined in the other official language, an interpreter may be required, and you must immediately advise the solicitor for the party conducting the examination.

IF YOU FAIL TO ATTEND OR REMAIN UNTIL THE END OF THIS EXAMINATION, YOU MAY BE COMPELLED TO ATTEND AT YOUR OWN EXPENSE AND YOU MAY BE FOUND IN CONTEMPT OF COURT.

INQUIRIES CONCERNING THIS DIRECTION may be directed to Nagib Tajdin, Telephone 011 254 723 693 844.

July 18, 2010

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Nagib Tajdin', written over a horizontal line.

**Nagib Tajdin
c/o 37 Sandiford Drive
Unit 205
Stouffville, Ontario
L4A 7X5**

Fax: (905) 640-7533